

July 15, 2007

Commission's Secretary
Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554
Deena Shetler: deena.shetler@fcc.gov
FCC Contractor: fcc@bcpiweb.com

Re: WC Docket No. 06-210
CCB/CPD 96-20

Comments of Petitioner's:

**800 Discounts, Inc., One Stop Financial, Inc.,
Winback & Conserve Program, Inc. and Group Discounts, Inc**

&

Tips Marketing Services, Corp.

Regarding Mr Kearney's 2 Motions

----- Original Message -----

From: [Mr. Inga](#)
To: [Deena Shetler](#) ; fcc@bcpiweb.com ; lgsjr@usa.net ; phillo@giantpackage.com ; [Joe Kearney](#) ;
[Guerra, Joseph R.](#) ; adllc@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, July 15, 2007 7:42 PM
Subject: Deena:Case 06-210 CCI et al vs AT&T Regarding Mr Kearney's motions...

Deena

Petitioners and Tips have read the two motions submitted by Mr Kearney. While Mr Kearney's motions again delays the case Petitioners and Tips fully support Mr Kearney's 2 motions.

Based upon these motions and the fact that Petitioners and Tips president will be away from its office next week Petitioners and Tips respectfully request the Commission to proceed in the following time table:

Mr Kearney is asking for two issues to be decided prior to AT&T filing on July 18th 2007.

1) AT&T should **not** be able to comment on the IRS issues in its next comments as they were issued by the IRS on behalf of Tips, a separate corporation, not a petitioner corporation, and Tips corporation is clearly **not** a party in case 06-210. The FCC obviously needs to rule on this aspect of Mr Kearney's motion before AT&T files.

2) Mr Kearney who is an ex AT&T sales manager and has stated that all of AT&T's Transfer of Service Agreement (TSA) transfers are all stored in AT&T achieves and AT&T is on record asserting to Judge Politan that AT&T has done thousands of "traffic only" transfers. Mr Kearney thus seeks for the FCC to compel AT&T to provide evidence supporting AT&T's position that it has always mandated that revenue commitments transfer on "traffic only" transfers.

Additionally, CCI's president Mr Shipp has just informed me that when the FCC was notified that CCI and AT&T settled in July 1997, but the Inga petitioners did not, the FCC issued an Order that CCI and AT&T **maintain all of its records**. So this would also indicate that AT&T has these records and the FCC had contemplated that examination of these records would eventually resolve the remaining petitioner's case.

The FCC obviously needs to issue an order on this aspect of Mr Kearney's request as well, to decide if AT&T should submit the evidence it claims it has to support its "post 2005" interpretation for 2.1.8.

Petitioners would also like to see the evidence and given the fact that petitioners president will be on out of office from July 22nd through 30th, the FCC should issue an Order allowing AT&T to have until July 30th 2007 to submit its evidence instead of filing by AT&T's initially requested filing date of July 18th 2007. The extension of the filing time will give AT&T additional time to research its achieves.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Al Inga Pres
Al Inga
Petitioner's
Tips